

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
09/295,323	04/20/1999	YOSHIHIRO HONMA	B208-1031	B208-1031 7044		
26272	7590 04/07/2004		EXAMI	EXAMINER		
ROBIN BLI	ECKER & DALEY	HANNETT,	HANNETT, JAMES M			
2ND FLOOR 330 MADISON AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
NEW YORK, NY 10017			2612			
			DATE MAILED: 04/07/2004	15		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	Application No.		pplicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/295,323		HONMA, YOSHIHIRO				
		Examiner		Art Unit	_			
		James M Ha		2612				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the co	over sheet with the c	orrespondence addres	SS			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS C	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, n. a reply within the statutor eriod will apply and will ex statute, cause the applicat	however, may a reply be tim y minimum of thirty (30) days pire SIX (6) MONTHS from ion to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commu C (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	24 February 2004.						
2a)□								
3)□								
*	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 1, 5, 9, 12, 29 and 34-46 is/are per 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) 1, 5, 9, 12 and 34-43 is/are allowed Claim(s) 29 and 46 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 44 and 45 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	ndrawn from consi red.	deration.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Example The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 February 2004</u> is Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control that the control of the	is/are: a)⊠ accept the drawing(s) be b prrection is required	neld in abeyance. See if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for [S] All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been r ments have been r priority document ureau (PCT Rule 1	received. received in Applicati s have been receive 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Sta	ge			
Attachme								
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	4)	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) 🔲 Info	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946 rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Sl er No(s)/Mail Date	B/08) 5)		atent Application (PTO-152	2)			

Application/Control Number: 09/295,323

Art Unit: 2612

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 29 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 1: Claims 29 and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by USPN 6,040,860 Tamura et al.
- 2: As for Claim 29, Tamura et al teaches in Figure 1 and Figure (9A) and Column 17, Lines 31-40 a signal processing apparatus which processes a signal outputted from an image pickup element (1001) having filters arranged to use plural kinds of colors, comprising: a color-suppression circuit (1005), provided for primary color signals or complementary color signals obtained from said image pickup element (1001), for color-suppressing said primary color signals or said complimentary color signals in accordance with the level of luminance signal; a color signal processing circuit (1006) which processes output by said suppression circuit (1005). It is viewed by the examiner that the gradation compensation circuit is a color suppression circuit.

Application/Control Number: 09/295,323

Art Unit: 2612

In regards to Claim 46, Tamura et al teaches in Figure 1 an A/D conversion circuit (1004) for A/D converting primary color signals or complementary color signals obtained from said image pickup element before said color-suppression circuit (1005).

### Allowable Subject Matter

- 4: Claims 44 and 45 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 5: Claims 1, 5, 9, 12 and 34-43 are allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art does not teach or suggest placing a color suppression circuit in front of an RGB matrix circuit. Furthermore, the prior art does not teach the use of placing a color suppression circuit between an image pickup element and an interpolation circuit. The prior art further does not teach the suppression circuit can be provided between said color interpolation circuit and said color-difference signal forming circuit.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. USPN 5,521,637 Asaida et al see Figure 1; USPN 4,680,624 Murakami see Figure 5; USPN 6,204,878 Hieda.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James M Hannett whose telephone number is 703-305-7880. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 am to 5:00 pm M-F.

Application/Control Number: 09/295,323

Art Unit: 2612

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wendy Garber can be reached on 703-305-4929. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

James M. Hannett Examiner Art Unit 2612

JMH March 30, 2004

PRIMARY EXAMINER